arXiv:1610.08320v1 [math-ph] 26 Oct 2016

Matrix product construction for Koornwinder polynomials and fluctuations of the current in the open ASEP

C. Finn[a1], and M. Vanicat[a2]

[a] LAPTh, CNRS - Université Savoie Mont Blanc
9 chemin de Bellevue, BP 110, F-74941 Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France.

Abstract

Starting from the deformed current-counting transition matrix for the open boundary ASEP, we prove that with a further deformation, the symmetric Koornwinder polynomials for partitions with equal row lengths appear as the normalisation of the twice deformed ground state. We give a matrix product construction for this ground state and the corresponding symmetric Koornwinder polynomials. Based on the form of this construction and numerical evidence, we conjecture a relation between the generating function of the cumulants of the current, and a certain limit of the symmetric Koornwinder polynomials.

October 2016
LAPTH-061/16

[1] caley.finn@lapth.cnrs.fr
[2] matthieu.vanicat@lapth.cnrs.fr

1 Introduction

The asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) has become over the last decades a paradigmatic model in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics [1, 2]. It is an example of a physical system exhibiting a macroscopic current in a stationary regime. Such systems, which cannot be described by the usual thermal equilibrium formalism, can be seen as the simplest out-of-equilibrium situation one can imagine [3–5]. The large deviation function of the current is a key tool in the study of these systems, and has been proposed as a generalization of the traditional thermodynamic potentials to non-equilibrium systems [6].

The large deviation function is studied via the generating function of the cumulants of the current, and several exact results have been obtained for the ASEP using a deformed current-counting transition matrix [7–10]. These works build upon the matrix product method [11], used to compute the stationary state of the undeformed ASEP. In this work we continue in this vein, relying particularly on the integrability of the ASEP [12,13] and the connection between integrability and the matrix product method [14, 15].

The integrable structure of the ASEP gives rise to a connection with Hecke algebras, and Macdonald and Koornwinder polynomials [16, 17]. The Macdonald polynomials are associated with the periodic system, and in [16] this connection is exploited to derive a matrix product formula for the symmetric Macdonald polynomials. In [17] the connection between the open system and Koornwinder polynomials is made – however a matrix product formula and the link to the general form of the Koornwinder polynomials is still lacking.

The aim of this paper is to exploit the integrable structure of the ASEP with deformed current-counting matrix, to make a connection to the general form of the Koornwinder polynomials. This in turns leads to a connection between the symmetric Koornwinder polynomials and the generating function of the cumulants of the current. We do this by introducing scattering relations and qKZ equations with a further deformation, through which we define a twice deformed ground state vector. We give a matrix product construction of this ground state vector and of the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial associated with it. This leads us to conjecture a beautiful relation between the generating function of the cumulants of the current, and a certain limit of symmetric Koornwinder polynomials.

In the following subsections we review briefly the main tools that are needed in this work: (i) the ASEP, the current-counting deformation of the associated Markov matrix, and the link with the generating function of cumulants of the current, (ii) the Hecke algebra and Koornwinder polynomials, (iii) the integrable structure of the ASEP.

The outline of the rest of the paper is as follows: in section 2, we introduce scattering relations and qKZ equations, whose solutions define a twice deformed ground state vector. We point out the connection between components of this deformed ground state vector and non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials. In section 3 we construct solutions of the qKZ equations in matrix product form, which then allows us to make the connection to symmetric Koornwinder polynomials. Finally in section 4 we conjecture that the twice deformed ground state vector converges in a specific limit to the ground state of the deformed Markov matrix. This conjecture allows us to express the generating function of the cumulants of the current as a certain limit of symmetric Koornwinder polynomials.

1.1 The ASEP and current fluctuations

The open boundary ASEP is a stochastic model of interacting particles set on a one-dimensional lattice. We consider a lattice of length N, where each lattice site may be occupied by a single particle, or is empty. In the bulk of the lattice particles hop right one site with rate p, and left with rate q, so long as the target site is empty (the exclusion rule). With open boundaries, particles may enter and exit at the first and last sites. If site 1 is empty (occupied), a particle is injected (extracted) with rate α (β). At site N, particles are extracted with rate β and injected with rate δ. These rules, summarised in figure 1, describe a continuous time Markov process.



Figure 1: Transition rates for the ASEP with open boundaries.

A Markov process is defined by its transition matrix, and to give this matrix we must first specify a basis. To each site i we attach a boolean variable $\tau_i \in \{0, 1\}$ indicating if the site is empty ($\tau_i = 0$) or occupied ($\tau_i = 1$). The state of a single site is represented by a vector $|\tau_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$, where

$$|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The state of the lattice is given by a vector $|\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle = |\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_N\rangle \in \left(\mathbb{C}^2\right)^{\otimes N}$ with

$$|\tau_1, \ldots \tau_N\rangle = |\tau_1\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |\tau_N\rangle.$$

The ASEP transition rates are then encoded in the transition matrix $M(\xi = 1)$, where[1]

$$M(\xi) = \sqrt{\alpha\gamma} B_1(\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{pq} w_{i,i+1} + \sqrt{\beta\delta} \overline{B}_N, \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\sqrt{\alpha\gamma} B(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha & \xi^{-1}\gamma \\ \xi\alpha & -\gamma \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sqrt{\beta\delta}\overline{B} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta & \beta \\ \delta & -\beta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sqrt{pq} w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -q & p & 0 \\ 0 & q & -p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.2}$$

The indices on the matrices indicate the sites on which they act. The matrix $M(\xi)$ is stochastic only for $\xi = 1$, but the introduction of this fugacity allows the study of the current generating function, as will be discussed below. The stochastic matrix $M(\xi = 1)$ has a unique eigenvector with eigenvalue 0, that is

$$M(1)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \qquad |\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \psi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} |\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle.$$

[1] The unusual normalisation is to ease the notation in later sections.

Normalising this vector gives the stationary distribution of the system: letting

$$\mathcal{Z} = \langle 1|\Psi\rangle, \qquad \langle 1| = (1,1)^{\otimes N},$$

the stationary probability of a configuration $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is

$$P_{\rm stat}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\psi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}.$$

If we now consider the deformed transition matrix $M(\xi)$, then the deformed ground state vector satisfies

$$M(\xi)|\Psi(\xi)\rangle = \Lambda_0(\xi)|\Psi(\xi)\rangle,$$

with $\Lambda_0(\xi) \to 0$ as $\xi \to 1$. The eigenvalue $\Lambda_0(\xi)$ for general ξ is an object of prime interest in the context of out-of-equilibrium statistical physics, because of its connection to the generating function of the cumulants of the current, $E(\mu) = \Lambda_0(e^\mu)$. It has been shown recently [8–10] that the cumulants of the current can be extracted analytically at any order at the price of solving non-linear implicit equations. The Legendre transformation of $E(\mu)$ provides the large deviation function of the particle current in the stationary state,

$$G(j) = \min_{\mu} \big(\mu j - E(\mu)\big),$$

which is expected to be a possible generalisation of thermodynamic potential to non-equilibrium systems [6]. In words, $G(j)$ describes the non-typical fluctuations of the mean particle flux. More precisely if we denote by Q_T the algebraic number of particles exchanged between the system and the left reservoir during the time interval $[0,T]$, then $G(j)$ is characterised by $P(Q_T/T = j) \sim \exp(-TG(j))$ for large T. The reader can refer, for instance, to [9] for more details.

The eigenvalue $\Lambda_0(\xi)$ is invariant under the Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry [18, 19]

$$\xi \to \xi' = \frac{\gamma\delta}{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{N-1}\xi^{-1}. \tag{1.3}$$

This translates immediately into a symmetry on the large deviation function of the particle current.

$$G(j) - G(-j) = j\ln\left(\frac{\gamma\delta}{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{N-1}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

This symmetry arises from the relation between the transition matrix and its transpose:

$$M(\xi') = U_{\rm GC} M(\xi)^T U_{\rm GC}^{-1}, \tag{1.5}$$

where ξ' is as defined in (1.3) and

$$U_{\rm GC} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\delta}{\beta}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{N-1} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\delta}{\beta}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{N-2} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \cdots \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\delta}{\beta} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.6}$$

The relation (1.5) implies that $M(\xi)$ and $M(\xi')$ have the same spectrum and thus the largest eigenvalue is the same:

$$\Lambda_0(\xi') = \Lambda_0(\xi).$$

As a further consequence of this symmetry, given a solution of the left eigenvalue problem

$$\langle\Phi(\xi)|M(\xi) = \Lambda(\xi)\langle\Phi(\xi)|.$$

there is a corresponding solution of the right eigenvalue problem

$$M(\xi')|\Psi(\xi')\rangle = \Lambda(\xi)|\Psi(\xi')\rangle,$$

with

$$|\Psi(\xi')\rangle = U_{\mathrm{GC}}|\Phi(\xi)\rangle,$$

and ξ' as defined in (1.3). Note that here and in the following we use the convention $\langle\cdot|^T = |\cdot\rangle$, where ^{T} denotes the usual transposition. We will explain in the following sections the connection that can be made between the ground state $|\Psi(\xi)\rangle$ and the theory of Koornwinder polynomials that we present now.

1.2 Koornwinder polynomials

We now introduce the symmetric and non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials, which form the other main theme of this work. The symmetric Koornwinder polynomials [20,21] are a family of multivariate orthogonal polynomials generalising the Askey–Wilson polynomials. The symmetric Koornwinder polynomials can be constructed from their non-symmetric counterparts, which arise from the polynomial representation of the affine Hecke algebra of type C_N [22, 23].

1.2.1 Hecke algebra

The affine Hecke algebra of type C_N is generated by elements $T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_N$, with parameters $t^{1/2}$, $t_0^{1/2}$ and $t_N^{1/2}$. The generators satisfy the quadratic relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(T_0 - t_0^{1/2}\right)\left(T_0 + t_0^{-1/2}\right) = 0,\\
&\left(T_i - t^{1/2}\right)\left(T_i + t^{-1/2}\right) = 0, \qquad 1 \le i \le N-1,\\
&\left(T_N - t_N^{1/2}\right)\left(T_N + t_N^{-1/2}\right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

the braid relations

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1T_0T_1T_0 &= T_0T_1T_0T_1\\
T_iT_{i+1}T_i &= T_{i+1}T_iT_{i+1}, \qquad 1 \le i \le N-2,\\
T_NT_{N-1}T_NT_{N-1} &= T_{N-1}T_NT_{N-1}T_N,
\end{aligned}$$

and otherwise commute. That is

$$T_iT_j = T_jT_i, \qquad |i-j| \ge 2.$$

The algebra contains a family of mutually commuting elements [22, 24]

$$Y_i = T_i \ldots T_{N-1}T_N \ldots T_0T_1^{-1} \ldots T_{i-1}^{-1}, \qquad 1 \le i \le N. \tag{1.7}$$

We are interested in the representation of this algebra due to Noumi [25] (see also [22]), acting on Laurent polynomials in $x_1, \ldots, x_N$. The Noumi representation contains three

additional parameters, $u_0^{1/2}$, $u_N^{1/2}$, and $s^{1/2}$, and is defined in terms of operators s_i acting on the x_i as

$$s_0 : x_1 \to s x_1^{-1}, \qquad s_N : x_N \to x_N^{-1}, \qquad s_i : x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1}, \quad 1 \le i \le N-1.$$

The elements $s_0, s_1, \dots s_N$ generate the affine Weyl W group of type C_N. The *finite* Weyl group W_0 is the subgroup generated by $s_1, \dots, s_N$.

Then in the Noumi representation, the generators of the affine Hecke algebra are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0^{\pm 1} &= t_0^{\pm 1/2} - t_0^{-1/2} \frac{(x_1 - a)(x_1 - b)}{x_1(x_1 - s x_1^{-1})}(1 - s_0), \\ T_i^{\pm 1} &= t^{\pm 1/2} - \frac{t^{1/2} x_i - t^{-1/2} x_{i+1}}{(x_i - x_{i+1})}(1 - s_i), \qquad 1 \le i \le N-1, \\ T_N^{\pm 1} &= t_N^{\pm 1/2} + t_N^{-1/2} \frac{(c x_N - 1)(d x_N - 1)}{x_N(x_N - x_N^{-1})}(1 - s_N), \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

with

$$a = s^{1/2} t_0^{1/2} u_0^{1/2}, \quad b = -s^{1/2} t_0^{1/2} u_0^{-1/2}, \quad c = t_N^{1/2} u_N^{1/2}, \quad d = -t_N^{1/2} u_N^{-1/2}.$$

One can check directly that the definitions (1.8) satisfy the relations of the Hecke algebra. Formally, we define the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}(s^{1/2}, t^{1/2}, t_0^{1/2}, u_0^{1/2}, t_N^{1/2}, u_N^{1/2})$, and let $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_N]$ be the ring of Laurent polynomials in N variables over $\mathbb{F}$. The map sending the generators of the Hecke algebra to the operators defined in (1.8) gives a representation of the algebra on $\mathcal{R}$ [22].

Later we will see that to relate the ASEP to the Noumi representation of the Hecke algebra we should take

$$t^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{p}{q}}, \qquad t_0^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}}, \qquad t_N^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\delta}},$$

and

$$u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2} = \frac{p - q + \gamma - \alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha\gamma}}, \qquad u_N^{1/2} - u_N^{-1/2} = \frac{p - q + \delta - \beta}{\sqrt{\beta\delta}}.$$

For the remainder of this paper we will use this parameterisation in preference to the physical parameters of the ASEP, or the combinations a, b, c, d appearing in (1.8).

1.2.2 Non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials

In the Noumi representation, the shared eigenfunctions of the commuting operators Y_i are called the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials [22,23], and are labelled by compositions $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, with

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N).$$

The non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomial E_λ has leading term

$$\mathbf{x}^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \dots x_N^{\lambda_N},$$

where the ordering of terms is by the partial order '$\prec$' on compositions [23], which we now define.

Denote by λ^+ the unique partition obtained from a composition λ by reordering and changing signs, such that

$$\lambda_1^+ \geq \lambda_2^+ \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_N^+.$$

First define the *dominance order*: for $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^N$

$$\mu \leq \lambda \iff \sum_{i=1}^{j} (\mu_i - \lambda_i) \leq 0, \qquad 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Then

$$\mu \preceq \lambda \iff \mu^+ < \lambda^+ \text{ or } \mu^+ = \lambda^+ \text{ and } \mu \leq \lambda.$$

Definition 1.1. *The non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $E_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$, indexed by composition λ, is the unique Laurent polynomial satisfying*

$$Y_i E_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = y(\lambda)_i E_\lambda(\mathbf{x}),$$
$$E_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\lambda + \sum_{\lambda' \prec \lambda} c_{\lambda\lambda'} \mathbf{x}^{\lambda'},$$

where $y(\lambda)_i$ is the eigenvalue, and $c_{\lambda\lambda'}$ are coefficients.

The composition λ determines the eigenvalue $y(\lambda)_i$ [22]. The two following cases will appear directly in this work:

- For $m > 0$, $\lambda = \left((-m)^N\right) = (-m, \ldots, -m)$,

$$y(\lambda)_i = t_0^{-1/2} t_N^{-1/2} s^{-m} t^{-(i-1)}. \tag{1.9}$$

- For $m \geq 0$, $\lambda = \left(m^N\right) = (m, \ldots, m)$,

$$y(\lambda)_i = t_0^{1/2} t_N^{1/2} s^m t^{N-i}. \tag{1.10}$$

However, other non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials will appear implicitly, and we define the following space:

Definition 1.2. *For a partition λ of length N, define $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$ as the space spanned by $\{E_\mu | \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^N, \mu^+ = \lambda\}$.*

1.2.3 Symmetric Koornwinder polynomials

The symmetric Koornwinder polynomials were introduced in [20], as eigenfunctions of the s-difference operator

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i(\mathbf{x})(T_{s,i} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i(\mathbf{x}^{-1})(T_{s,i}^{-1} - 1), \tag{1.11}$$

where $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ is defined by

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(1 - ax_i)(1 - bx_i)(1 - cx_i)(1 - dx_i)}{(1 - x_i^2)(1 - sx_i^2)} \prod_{j=1 j \neq i}^{N} \frac{(1 - tx_i x_j^{-1})(1 - tx_i x_j)}{(1 - x_i x_j^{-1})(1 - x_i x_j)}, \tag{1.12}$$

and $T_{s,i}$ is the i^{th} s-shift operator

$$T_{s,i} f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_N) = f(x_1, \ldots, sx_i, \ldots, x_N). \tag{1.13}$$

Definition 1.3. *For a partition λ, the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $P_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is characterised by the eigenvalue equation*

$$DP_\lambda = d_\lambda P_\lambda, \tag{1.14}$$

with eigenvalue

$$d_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[t_0 t_N t^{2N-i-1}(s^{\lambda_i} - 1) + t^{i-1}(s^{-\lambda_i} - 1) \right], \tag{1.15}$$

and where the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^\lambda$ in P_λ is equal to 1.

Their relation to the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials was given in [22].

Theorem 1.4 (Corollary 6.5 of [22])**.** *The symmetric Koornwinder polynomial P_λ can be characterised as the unique W_0-invariant polynomial in $\mathcal{R}^\lambda$ which has the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^\lambda$ equal to 1.*

In order to make contact between Koornwinder polynomials and the ground state of the current-counting deformation of the open ASEP, we need to exploit the integrable structure of the underlying the physical model, which we now review.

1.3 Structure of integrability

The ASEP is an integrable model – the deformed transition matrix $M(\xi)$ belongs to an infinite family of commuting matrices [13] (see also [15, 17]). The generating function of these commuting matrices is called the transfer matrix. The key ingredients to construct this transfer matrix are matrices $\check{R}(x)$, $K(x)$, and $\overline{K}(x)$ satisfying the Yang–Baxter relation

$$\check{R}_i(x_2/x_3)\check{R}_{i+1}(x_1/x_3)\check{R}_i(x_1/x_2) = \check{R}_{i+1}(x_1/x_2)\check{R}_i(x_1/x_3)\check{R}_{i+1}(x_2/x_3),$$

left and right reflection relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{R}_1(x_2/x_1)K_1(x_2)\check{R}_1(x_1x_2)K_1(x_1) &= K_1(x_1)\check{R}_1(x_1x_2)K_1(x_2)\check{R}_1(x_2/x_1),\\
\check{R}_1(x_1/x_2)\overline{K}_2(1/x_1)\check{R}_1(x_1x_2)\overline{K}_2(1/x_2) &= K_2(1/x_2)\check{R}_1(x_1x_2)K_2(1/x_1)\check{R}_1(x_1/x_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and the unitarity conditions

$$\check{R}_i(x)\check{R}_i\left(x^{-1}\right) = 1, \qquad K(x)K\left(x^{-1}\right) = 1, \qquad \overline{K}(x)\overline{K}\left(x^{-1}\right) = 1.$$

For the open boundary ASEP the matrices are given by

$$\check{R}(x) = 1 + r(x)w, \tag{1.16}$$

$$K(x;\xi) = 1 + k(x; t_0^{1/2}, u_0^{1/2})B(\xi), \tag{1.17}$$

$$\overline{K}(x) = 1 + k(x^{-1}; t_N^{1/2}, u_N^{1/2})\overline{B}, \tag{1.18}$$

where

$$r(x) = \frac{x-1}{t^{-1/2}x - t^{1/2}}, \qquad k(x; t_i^{1/2}, u_i^{1/2}) = \frac{x^2-1}{t_i^{-1/2}x^2 - (u_i^{1/2} - u_i^{-1/2})x - t_i^{1/2}}, \tag{1.19}$$

and w, $B(\xi)$, $\overline{B}$ are written in terms of the Hecke parameters as

$$B(\xi)=\begin{pmatrix}-t_0^{1/2} & \xi^{-1}t_0^{-1/2}\\ \xi t_0^{1/2} & -t_0^{-1/2}\end{pmatrix},\qquad \overline{B}=\begin{pmatrix}-t_N^{-1/2} & t_N^{1/2}\\ t_N^{-1/2} & -t_N^{1/2}\end{pmatrix},\qquad w=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&0&0\\0&-t^{-1/2}&t^{1/2}&0\\0&t^{-1/2}&-t^{1/2}&0\\0&0&0&0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.20}$$

We will write $K(x)$ for $K(x;\xi)$, except when it is necessary to distinguish between values of ξ. Note that the local (physical) transition matrices are obtained from (1.16) – (1.18) through

$$\sqrt{pq}w=(q-p)R'(1),\qquad \sqrt{\alpha\gamma}B(\xi)=\frac{1}{2}(q-p)K'(1;\xi),\qquad \sqrt{\beta\delta}\overline{B}=-\frac{1}{2}(q-p)\overline{K}'(1),$$

and the Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry on the transition matrices now implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\check{R}_i(x)&=U_{\mathrm{GC}}\check{R}_i(x)^TU_{\mathrm{GC}}^{-1},\\ K_1(x;\xi')&=U_{\mathrm{GC}}K_1(x;\xi)^TU_{\mathrm{GC}}^{-1},\\ \overline{K}_N(x)&=U_{\mathrm{GC}}\overline{K}_N(x)^TU_{\mathrm{GC}}^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

with ξ' and U_{GC} defined in (1.3) and (1.6) respectively.

2 Twice deformed inhomogeneous ground state

2.1 Scattering matrices

Instead of the transfer matrix approach, one can define scattering matrices [26, 27], although the two methods are closely related. We first define a modified left boundary matrix

$$\widetilde{K}(x)=K(s^{-1/2}x), \tag{2.1}$$

in order to introduce the Hecke parameter s. The matrix $\widetilde{K}(x)$ satisfies deformed unitary and reflection relations

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{K}(sx)\widetilde{K}\left(x^{-1}\right)&=1,\\ \check{R}_1(x_2/x_1)\widetilde{K}_1(x_2)\check{R}_1(s^{-1}x_1x_2)\widetilde{K}_1(x_1)&=\widetilde{K}_1(x_1)\check{R}_1(s^{-1}x_1x_2)\widetilde{K}_1(x_2)\check{R}_1(x_2/x_1),\end{aligned}$$

and has the Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry

$$\widetilde{K}_1(x;\xi')=U_{\mathrm{GC}}\widetilde{K}_1(x;\xi)^TU_{\mathrm{GC}}^{-1}.$$

For $1\le i\le N$, define the scattering matrices

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x})=&\check{R}_{i-1}\left(\frac{x_{i-1}}{sx_i}\right)\dots\check{R}_1\left(\frac{x_1}{sx_i}\right)\\ &\widetilde{K}_1\left(\frac{1}{x_i}\right)\\ &\check{R}_1\left(\frac{1}{x_ix_1}\right)\dots\check{R}_{i-1}\left(\frac{1}{x_ix_{i-1}}\right).\check{R}_i\left(\frac{1}{x_ix_{i+1}}\right)\dots\check{R}_{N-1}\left(\frac{1}{x_ix_N}\right)\\ &\overline{K}_N(x_i)\\ &\check{R}_{N-1}\left(\frac{x_N}{x_i}\right)\dots\check{R}_i\left(\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Using the Yang–Baxter, reflection relations, and unitarity, we see that the scattering matrices satisfy a deformed commutation relation

$$\mathcal{S}_i(\ldots, sx_j, \ldots)\mathcal{S}_j(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = \mathcal{S}_j(\ldots, sx_i, \ldots)\mathcal{S}_i(x_1, \ldots, x_N).$$

With $s = 1$, $[\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{S}_j(\mathbf{x})] = 0$ for all i, j, and in fact there is a direct relation to the transfer matrix approach [13,15,17]: the scattering matrices are equal to the transfer matrix evaluated at the inhomogeneity parameters. At $s = 1$, we also have the important relations

$$\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x})|_{s=x_1=\ldots=x_N=1} = 1, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x})|_{s=x_1=\ldots=x_N=1} = \frac{2}{p-q}M(\xi). \tag{2.3}$$

Considering s general again, we would like to find solutions of the scattering relation

$$\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x})|\Psi(\ldots, x_i, \ldots)\rangle = |\Psi(\ldots, sx_i, \ldots)\rangle, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{x})\rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \psi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{x})|\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

Taking the derivative of (2.4) with respect to x_i and specialising with $x_1 = \ldots = x_N = s = 1$, this would imply

$$M(\xi)|\Psi(\mathbf{1})\rangle = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

For $\xi = 1$ this is the unnormalised stationary vector of the ASEP with eigenvalue 0. For $\xi \neq 1$, the ground state eigenvalue is non-zero, and so (2.6) should not have a solution at this point (that is $s = 1$, $\xi \neq 1$). However, in section 4 we will discuss how there could be a solution of (2.4) for $s \to 1$, $\xi \neq 1$, and how it relates to the current-counting eigenvalue.

2.2 qKZ equations

It can be checked directly that sufficient conditions for a solution of the scattering relation (2.4) are

$$\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i)|\Psi(\ldots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots)\rangle = |\Psi(\ldots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \ldots)\rangle, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq N-1, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})|\Psi(x_1^{-1}, x_2, \ldots)\rangle = |\Psi(sx_1, x_2, \ldots)\rangle, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\overline{K}_N(x_N)|\Psi(\ldots, x_{N-1}, x_N)\rangle = |\Psi(\ldots, x_{N-1}, 1/x_N)\rangle. \tag{2.9}$$

Note that the Yang–Baxter, reflection, and unitary conditions ensure the consistency of this definition. We will refer to (2.7) – (2.9) as the qKZ equations, although in our notation the q has been replaced by the parameter s. These q-difference equations were first introduced in [28] and appear as q-deformation of the KZ equations [29].

Motivated by the connection to the ASEP stationary state, we make the following definition:

Definition 2.1. *We call a solution*

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{x}; s, \xi)\rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \psi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{x}; s, \xi)|\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle$$

of equations (2.7) – (2.9) *a twice deformed inhomogeneous ground state vector, with deformation parameters* s *and* ξ*.*

As indicated at the end of the previous section, such a vector with $s = \xi = 1$ is the inhomogeneous ground state vector of the open boundary ASEP, and can be constructed in matrix product form [15, 30] or from specialised non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials [17]. We will show that more general solutions exist when s and ξ are related in certain ways.

We use the Noumi representation of the Hecke algebra to write the qKZ equations in component form. To specify a lattice configuration $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ we use '$\circ$' for an empty site ($\tau_i = 0$) and '$\bullet$' for a filled site ($\tau_i = 1$). Then, for example, we write $\psi_{\circ\ldots}$ to indicate the weight for any configuration with the first site empty ($\tau_1 = 0$)

Lemma 2.2. *The qKZ equations* (2.7) – (2.9) *for the deformed ground state vector are equivalent to the following exchange relations on the components:*

$$T_0\psi_{\circ\ldots} = \xi^{-1}t_0^{-1/2}\psi_{\bullet\ldots}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$T_N\psi_{\ldots\bullet} = t_N^{-1/2}\psi_{\ldots\circ}, \tag{2.11}$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq N-1$,

$$T_i\psi_{\ldots\circ\circ\ldots} = t^{1/2}\psi_{\ldots\circ\circ\ldots}, \tag{2.12}$$

$$T_i\psi_{\ldots\bullet\bullet\ldots} = t^{1/2}\psi_{\ldots\bullet\bullet\ldots}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$T_i\psi_{\ldots\bullet\circ\ldots} = t^{-1/2}\psi_{\ldots\circ\bullet\ldots}, \tag{2.14}$$

where the marked sites are in positions i, $i+1$.

Proof. This can be checked directly. □

Note that the parameters s and ξ both enter through (2.10), with s contained within the T_0 operator.

Lemma 2.3. *For any vector* $|\Psi(\mathbf{x}; s, \xi)\rangle$ *satisfying the qKZ equations* (2.7) – (2.9)*, the empty lattice weight* $\psi_{\circ\ldots\circ}$ *is an eigenfunction of the* Y_i *operators* (1.7)*, satisfying*

$$Y_i\psi_{\circ\ldots\circ} = \xi^{-1}t_0^{-1/2}t_N^{-1/2}t^{-(i-1)}\psi_{\circ\ldots\circ}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. This follows by direct computation with the exchange relations in Lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 2.3 immediately suggests the connection to the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials:

1. Taking $\xi = s^m$, $m > 0$, the eigenvalue in (2.15) is given by (1.9), corresponding to the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomial labelled by the composition $\big((-m)^N\big)$.
2. Taking $\xi = t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}s^{-m}$, $m \geq 0$, the eigenvalue instead corresponds to (1.10), for the composition $\big(m^N\big)$.

Moreover, note that case 2 is obtained from case 1 by sending

$$\xi \to t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}\xi^{-1},$$

which is exactly the Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry (1.3). In section 3 we will give a direct matrix product construction of the inhomogeneous ground state vector for case 1, that is $\xi = s^m$. To solve case 2, we will use the Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry on solutions of *left* qKZ equations, which we now present.

2.3 Left qKZ equations

We define left qKZ equations

$$\langle\Phi(\ldots,x_i,x_{i+1},\ldots)|\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i)=\langle\Phi(\ldots,x_{i+1},x_i,\ldots)|,\qquad 1\le i\le N-1, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\langle\Phi(x_1^{-1},x_2,\ldots)|\widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})=\langle\Phi(sx_1,x_2,\ldots)|, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\langle\Phi(\ldots,x_{N-1},x_N)|\overline{K}_N(x_N)=\langle\Phi(\ldots,x_{N-1},1/x_N)|, \tag{2.18}$$

with

$$\langle\Phi(\mathbf{x})|=\sum_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\phi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{x})\langle\boldsymbol{\tau}|. \tag{2.19}$$

These would imply a solution of a left scattering equation (analogous to (2.4)) with a scattering matrix, defined by reversing the order of matrices in the definition (2.2). The two following lemmas are analogous to lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *The left qKZ equations* (2.16) – (2.18) *for a vector of form* (2.19) *are equivalent to the following exchange relations on the components:*

$$T_0\phi_{\circ\ldots}=\xi t_0^{1/2}\phi_{\bullet\ldots}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$T_N\phi_{\ldots\bullet}=t_N^{1/2}\phi_{\ldots\circ}, \tag{2.21}$$

and for $1\le i\le N-1$,

$$T_i\phi_{\ldots\circ\circ\ldots}=t^{1/2}\phi_{\ldots\circ\circ\ldots}, \tag{2.22}$$

$$T_i\phi_{\ldots\bullet\bullet\ldots}=t^{1/2}\phi_{\ldots\bullet\bullet\ldots}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$T_i\phi_{\ldots\bullet\circ\ldots}=t^{1/2}\phi_{\ldots\circ\bullet\ldots}, \tag{2.24}$$

where the marked sites are in positions i, $i+1$.

Lemma 2.5. *For any vector* $\langle\Phi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)|$ *satisfying the left qKZ equations* (2.16) – (2.18), *the empty lattice weight* $\phi_{\circ\ldots\circ}$ *is an eigenfunction of the* Y_i *operators* (1.7), *satisfying*

$$Y_i\phi_{\circ\ldots\circ}=\xi t_0^{1/2}t_N^{1/2}t^{N-i}\phi_{\circ\ldots\circ}. \tag{2.25}$$

Again, the same two constraints on ξ and s appear, but with the correspondence to the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials reversed: taking $\xi=s^m$, $m\ge 0$, would correspond to the composition $\left(m^N\right)$; taking $\xi=t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}s^{-m}$, $m>0$, would correspond to the composition $\left((-m)^N\right)$.

The Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry allows us to relate solutions of the left and right qKZ equations.

Lemma 2.6. *For any vector* $\langle\Phi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)|$ *satisfying the left qKZ equations* (2.16) – (2.18), *the vector*

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi')\rangle=U_{GC}|\Phi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)\rangle, \tag{2.26}$$

with

$$\xi'=t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}\xi^{-1}, \tag{2.27}$$

is a solution to the right qKZ equations (2.7) – (2.9).

Proof. This is checked by transposing the left qKZ equations and using the Gallavotti–Cohen symmetry on the $\check{R}$, $\widetilde{K}$ and $\overline{K}$ matrices. □

3 Matrix product solution

The matrix product ansatz for the stationary state of the ASEP was introduced in [11] and has led since then to numerous works in statistical physics and mathematical physics. The connection with integrability was explored in [14, 15] and allowed the generalisation to the multi-species open ASEP [31–33]. In [8–10] a perturbative matrix ansatz was constructed giving successive cumulants of the particle current, and some of the structures introduced there will be used here. For the periodic ASEP, the matrix product method was used to construct the stationary state of the multi-species system [34–37] and the Macdonald polynomials [16], and has revealed a connection to the 3D integrability of the model [38, 39]. In this section we give a matrix product construction of the twice deformed ground state vectors, and show that this results in a matrix product formula for certain symmetric Koornwinder polynomials.

3.1 General construction

The matrix product ansatz for the twice deformed inhomogeneous ground state vectors is written

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)\rangle = \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}\mathbb{A}_1(x_1)\dots\mathbb{A}_N(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle, \tag{3.1}$$

with

$$\mathbb{A}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0(x) \\ A_1(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The entries $A_0(x)$, $A_1(x)$ as well as $\mathbb{S}$ are operators in some auxiliary algebraic space. The left and right vectors $\langle\langle W|$ and $|V\rangle\rangle$ contract this space to give a scalar value. Writing out (3.1) gives the following 2^N component vector

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}A_0(x_1)\dots A_0(x_{N-1})A_0(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle \\ \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}A_0(x_1)\dots A_0(x_{N-1})A_1(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle \\ \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}A_0(x_1)\dots A_1(x_{N-1})A_0(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}A_1(x_1)\dots A_1(x_{N-1})A_1(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Sufficient conditions for a vector of form* (3.1) *to satisfy the qKZ equations* (2.7) – (2.9) *are the following:*

$$\check{R}_i\left(\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}\right)\mathbb{A}_i(x_i)\mathbb{A}_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) = \mathbb{A}_i(x_{i+1})\mathbb{A}_{i+1}(x_i), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\widetilde{K}_1\left(x_1^{-1}\right)\langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}\mathbb{A}_1\left(x_1^{-1}\right) = \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}\mathbb{A}_1\left(sx_1\right), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\overline{K}_N(x_N)\mathbb{A}_N(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle = \mathbb{A}_N\left(x_N^{-1}\right)|V\rangle\rangle. \tag{3.4}$$

Equation (3.2) is the Zamolodchikov–Faddeev (ZF) algebra [40, 41]. Equations (3.3), (3.4) are a deformation of the Ghoshal–Zamolodchikov (GZ) relations [42]. The undeformed GZ relations are obtained by setting $\mathbb{S}$ to the identity and $s = 1$. The matrix product ansatz for the open boundary ASEP can be expressed as a solution of the undeformed relations, and solutions for related models have also been found and studied [15, 43].

3.2 Construction of solutions

We now give an explicit construction of the qKZ solution when $\xi = s^m$, $m \geq 1$. We first define certain algebraic objects through the relations they satisfy.

Definition 3.2. *We define algebraic objects satisfying the following relations: operators a, $a^\dagger$ and S:*

$$\begin{aligned} aa^\dagger - ta^\dagger a &= 1 - t, \\ aS &= \sqrt{s} Sa, \\ Sa^\dagger &= \sqrt{s} a^\dagger S. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

And paired boundary vectors $\langle\langle w|$ and $|v\rangle\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle w| \left(t_0^{1/2} a - t_0^{-1/2} a^\dagger \right) &= \langle\langle w| \left(u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2} \right), \\ \left(t_N^{1/2} a^\dagger - t_N^{-1/2} a \right) |v\rangle\rangle &= \left(u_N^{1/2} - u_N^{-1/2} \right) |v\rangle\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and $\langle\langle \widetilde{w}|$ and $|\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \widetilde{w}| \left(t_0^{1/2} a - t_0^{-1/2} a^\dagger \right) &= \langle\langle \widetilde{w}| \left(t_0^{1/2} - t_0^{-1/2} \right), \\ \left(t_N^{1/2} a^\dagger - t_N^{-1/2} a \right) |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle &= \left(t_N^{1/2} - t_N^{-1/2} \right) |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Elements of this algebra have appeared in many places in the context of the ASEP. These particular relations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) appear previously in [9, 10, 44, 45]. In Appendix A we give an infinite dimensional representation of this algebra.

Building on this algebra, we define

$$\mathbb{S}^{(m)} = S^{2m-1} \otimes S^{2m-2} \otimes \ldots \otimes S^3 \otimes S^2 \otimes S, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathbb{A}^{(m)}(x) = \underbrace{L(x) \dot{\otimes} \ldots \dot{\otimes} L(x)}_{m-1 \text{ times}} \dot{\otimes} b(x), \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ xa^\dagger & x \end{pmatrix} \dot{\otimes} \begin{pmatrix} 1/x & a/x \\ a^\dagger & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad b(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1/x + a \\ x + a^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.10}$$

The symbol $\dot{\otimes}$ indicates the normal dot product in the physical space, taking the tensor product of the entries – elements of the auxiliary algebraic space. For example, expanding the definition of $L(x)$ gives

$$L(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x^{-1}\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + a \otimes a^\dagger & x^{-1}\mathbf{1} \otimes a + a \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ a^\dagger \otimes \mathbf{1} + x\mathbf{1} \otimes a^\dagger & a^\dagger \otimes a + x\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also define boundary vectors

$$\langle\langle W^{(m)}| = \underbrace{\langle\langle w| \otimes \langle\langle \widetilde{w}| \otimes \ldots \otimes \langle\langle w| \otimes \langle\langle \widetilde{w}|}_{m-1 \text{ times}} \otimes \langle\langle w| \tag{3.11}$$

$$|V^{(m)}\rangle\rangle = \underbrace{|v\rangle\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |v\rangle\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle}_{m-1 \text{ times}} \otimes |v\rangle\rangle. \tag{3.12}$$

Proposition 3.3. *For integer $m > 0$ and $\xi = s^m$,*

$$|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}; s)\rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega^{(m)}} \langle\langle W^{(m)}|\mathbb{S}^{(m)}\mathbb{A}_1^{(m)}(x_1)\dots\mathbb{A}_N^{(m)}(x_N)|V^{(m)}\rangle\rangle, \tag{3.13}$$

with normalisation factor

$$\Omega^{(m)} = \langle\langle W^{(m)}|\mathbb{S}^{(m)}|V^{(m)}\rangle\rangle, \tag{3.14}$$

is a solution of the qKZ equations (2.7) – (2.9).

Note that the dependence on ξ has disappeared in the vector $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}; s)\rangle$ because of the constraint $\xi = s^m$.

Proof. The normalisation factor $\Omega^{(m)}$ can be chosen freely, but we must show that the choice (3.14) is non-zero. To do so, we compute $\Omega^{(m)}$ using an infinite dimensional representation of the algebra defined in (3.5) – (3.7). We give the details in Appendix A. Then to prove that $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}; s)\rangle$ is a qKZ solution, it is sufficient to show that (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) are satisfied.

By a direct computation, using the algebraic relations (3.5), it can be checked that the vector $b(x)$ and the matrix $L(x)$ satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i)b_i(x_i)b_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) &= b_i(x_{i+1})b_{i+1}(x_i),\\
\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i)L_i(x_i)L_{i+1}(x_{i+1}) &= L_i(x_{i+1})L_{i+1}(x_i)\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i).
\end{aligned}$$

These elementary exchange relations can be used successively several times to give (3.2). On the right boundary, using relations (3.6), (3.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{K}_N(x_N)b_N(x_N)|v\rangle\rangle &= b_N(1/x_N)|v\rangle\rangle,\\
\overline{K}_N(x_N)L_N(x_N)|v\rangle\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle &= L_N(1/x_N)\overline{K}_N(x_N)|v\rangle\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these properties several times, it is straightforward to prove (3.4). Finally, on the left boundary, the vector $b(x)$ satisfies

$$\langle\langle w|S\ \widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})\Big|_{\xi=s} b_1(x_1^{-1}) = \langle\langle w|Sb_1(sx_1),$$

and the matrix $L(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\langle w| \otimes \langle\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2a+1} \otimes S^{2a}\ \widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})\Big|_{\xi=s^{a+1}} L_1(x_1^{-1})\\
&\qquad = \langle\langle w| \otimes \langle\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2a+1} \otimes S^{2a}L_1(sx_1)\ \widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})\Big|_{\xi=s^a}.
\end{aligned}$$

In words, the last equation means that the parameter ξ is multiplied by a factor s when the matrix L passes through the matrix $\widetilde{K}$. Thus by imposing the constraint $\xi = s^m$ and applying these relations successively, relation (3.3) follows. □

We still need to show that the construction gives a non-zero vector. Before doing so, we introduce some notation, then look at some examples.

Definition 3.4. *For a lattice configuration $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_N)$, define the composition $\lambda^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$, with*

$$\lambda^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})_i = \begin{cases} -m, & \tau_i = 0,\\ m, & \tau_i = 1. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding partition is $\lambda^{(m)+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \left(m^N\right)$.

Definition 3.5. *We introduce the notation*

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{k}\langle B\rangle &= \langle\!\langle w|S^k B|v\rangle\!\rangle,\\
{}^{j,k}\langle B\dot{\otimes}C\rangle &= (\langle\!\langle w|\otimes\langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|)\left(S^j\otimes S^k\right)\left(B\dot{\otimes}C\right)(|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle)\\
&= \left(\langle\!\langle w|S^j B|v\rangle\!\rangle\right).\left(\langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|S^k C|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Here B, C may be scalars, vectors, or matrices in physical space, with entries belonging to the auxiliary algebraic space.

Example 3.6. *With $m=1$, $\mathbb{A}^{(1)}(x)=b(x)$. For $N=1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(1)}|\Psi^{(1)}(x_1;s)\rangle| &= {}^{1}\langle b(x_1)_1\rangle\\
&= \begin{pmatrix}\langle\!\langle w|S\left(\frac{1}{x_1}+a\right)|v\rangle\!\rangle\\ \langle\!\langle w|S\left(x_1+a^\dagger\right)|v\rangle\!\rangle\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $N=2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(1)}|\Psi^{(1)}(x_1,x_2;s)\rangle &= {}^{1}\langle b(x_1)_1 b(x_2)_2\rangle\\
&= \begin{pmatrix}\langle\!\langle w|S\left(\frac{1}{x_1}+a\right)\left(\frac{1}{x_2}+a\right)|v\rangle\!\rangle\\ \langle\!\langle w|S\left(\frac{1}{x_1}+a\right)\left(x_2+a^\dagger\right)|v\rangle\!\rangle\\ \langle\!\langle w|S\left(x_1+a^\dagger\right)\left(\frac{1}{x_2}+a\right)|v\rangle\!\rangle\\ \langle\!\langle w|S\left(x_1+a^\dagger\right)\left(x_2+a^\dagger\right)|v\rangle\!\rangle\end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$\Omega^{(1)}|\Psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle = {}^{1}\langle b(x_1)_1\dots b(x_N)_N\rangle.$$

Note that the normalisation $\Omega^{(1)}=\langle\!\langle w|S|v\rangle\!\rangle$ ensures that each component $\psi^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ has leading term $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$ with coefficient 1, and all other terms correspond to compositions μ with

$$\mu^+ < \lambda^{(1)+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \left(1^N\right).$$

Example 3.7. *With $m=2$,*

$$\mathbb{A}^{(2)}(x) = L(x)\dot{\otimes}b(x).$$

Then for $N=1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{(2)}|\Psi^{(2)}(x_1;s)\rangle &= (\langle\!\langle w|\otimes\langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|\otimes\langle\!\langle w|)\left(S^3\otimes S^2\otimes S\right)\left(L(x_1)\dot{\otimes}b(x_1)\right)_1(|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|v\rangle\!\rangle)\\
&= {}^{3,2}\langle L(x_1)_1\rangle.\left({}^{1}\langle b(x_1)_1\rangle\right)\\
&= {}^{3,2}\langle L(x_1)_1\rangle.\Omega^{(1)}|\Psi^{(1)}(x_1;s)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$${}^{3,2}\langle L(x_1)_1\rangle = \langle\!\langle w|\otimes\langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|S^3\otimes S^2\begin{pmatrix}x_1^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}+a\otimes a^\dagger & x_1^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes a+a\otimes\mathbf{1}\\ a^\dagger\otimes\mathbf{1}+x_1\mathbf{1}\otimes a^\dagger & a^\dagger\otimes a+x_1\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\end{pmatrix}_1|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle.$$

For $N = 2$,

$$\Omega^{(2)}|\Psi^{(2)}(x_1;s)\rangle = (\langle\!\langle w| \otimes \langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}| \otimes \langle\!\langle w|) \left(S^3 \otimes S^2 \otimes S\right) \left(L(x_1)\dot{\otimes} b(x_1)\right)_1 \\ . \left(L(x_2)\dot{\otimes} b(x_2)\right)_2 (|v\rangle\!\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle \otimes |v\rangle\!\rangle)\,.$$

The matrix $L(x_2)_2$ can be brought past $b(x_1)_1$ as they are in different physical spaces, and their entries are in different auxiliary algebraic spaces. Thus we obtain

$$\Omega^{(2)}|\Psi^{(2)}(x_1,x_2;s)\rangle = {}^{3,2}\langle L(x_1)_1 L(x_2)_2\rangle.\left(\Omega^{(1)}|\Psi^{(1)}(x_1,x_2;s)\rangle\right),$$

with

$${}^{3,2}\langle L(x_1)_1 L(x_2)_2\rangle = \langle\!\langle w| \otimes \langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}|S^3 \otimes S^2 \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1} + a\otimes a^\dagger & x_1^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes a + a\otimes\mathbf{1} \\ a^\dagger\otimes\mathbf{1} + x_1\mathbf{1}\otimes a^\dagger & a^\dagger\otimes a + x_1\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\end{pmatrix}_1 \\ \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_2^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1} + a\otimes a^\dagger & x_2^{-1}\mathbf{1}\otimes a + a\otimes\mathbf{1} \\ a^\dagger\otimes\mathbf{1} + x_2\mathbf{1}\otimes a^\dagger & a^\dagger\otimes a + x_2\mathbf{1}\otimes\mathbf{1}\end{pmatrix}_2 |v\rangle\!\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle.$$

The normalisation factor is

$$\Omega^{(2)} = \langle\!\langle w| \otimes \langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}| \otimes \langle\!\langle w|S^3 \otimes S^2 \otimes S|v\rangle\!\rangle \otimes |\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle \otimes |v\rangle\!\rangle,$$

and it can be checked directly for $N = 1, 2$ that each component $\psi^{(2)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ has leading term $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$ with coefficient 1, and all other terms correspond to compositions μ with

$$\mu^+ < \lambda^{(2)+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \left(2^N\right).$$

We now give the general form.

Theorem 3.8. *The qKZ equations have a solution when $\xi = s^m$, written recursively on m: For $m > 1$*

$$|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle = \frac{1}{\langle\!\langle w|S^{2m-1}|v\rangle\!\rangle\langle\!\langle \tilde{w}|S^{2m-2}|\tilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle} \left({}^{2m-1,2m-2}\langle L(x_1)_1 \dots L(x_N)_N\rangle\right) |\Psi^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle, \tag{3.15}$$

with

$$|\Psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle = \frac{1}{\langle\!\langle w|S|v\rangle\!\rangle} \left({}^1\langle b(x_1)_1 \dots b(x_N)\rangle\right). \tag{3.16}$$

The components of the solution, $\psi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{x};s)$, have leading term $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$, and all other terms correspond to compositions μ with

$$\mu^+ < \lambda^{(m)+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \left(m^N\right).$$

Proof. The recursive form (3.15), (3.16) is obtained by a reordering of the matrix product form (3.13), as in Example 3.7.

The second part of the claim, on the degree and normalisation of components of the solution, can be proven inductively. We assume the property holds at $m-1$ and use (3.15) to obtain the solution at m. That is, we multiply by the 'increment' matrix

$$\frac{1}{\langle\!\langle w|S^{2m-1}|v\rangle\!\rangle\langle\!\langle \tilde{w}|S^{2m-2}|\tilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle} \left({}^{2m-1,2m-2}\langle L(x_1)_1 \dots L(x_N)_N\rangle\right).$$

The following points can be deduced by writing (3.15) and the increment matrix in component form:

- A term $\mathbf{x}^\mu$ with $\mu^+ = \left(m^N\right)$ can only be produced from the leading order terms of the $m-1$ solution, which correspond to the partition $\left((m-1)^N\right)$, and thus we can ignore sub-leading terms.
- The diagonal entries of the increment matrix produce the term $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$ with coefficient 1 (plus lower order terms) in $\psi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$, from the corresponding component of the $m-1$ solution.
- The off-diagonal entries of the increment matrix, acting on the leading order term of a component of the $m-1$ solution, either reduces the degree or leaves it unchanged.

These points are sufficient to show that the degree and normalisation properties hold at m, assuming they hold at $m-1$. As the $m=1$ case was checked in Example 3.6, the properties hold for all m. □

The construction of the solution of the left qKZ equations at $s=\xi^m$ is similar to the above, and we defer the details to Appendix B. We state here the main result.

Theorem 3.9. *For integer $m \geq 0$ and $\xi = s^m$, solutions of the left qKZ equations can be constructed in matrix product form, and can be defined recursively. For $m > 0$*

$$\langle \Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)| = \frac{1}{\langle\langle w|S^{2m-1}|v\rangle\rangle \langle\langle \tilde{w}|S^{2m}|\tilde{v}\rangle\rangle} \langle \Phi^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{x};s)| \left({}^{2m-1,2m}\langle L\left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)_1 \dots L\left(\frac{1}{x_N}\right)_N \rangle \right) \tag{3.17}$$

with

$$\langle \Phi^{(0)}(\mathbf{x};s)| = \langle 1| = (1,1)^{\otimes N} \tag{3.18}$$

The solution is non-zero: the component of the solution, $\phi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\mathbf{x};s)$, contains the term $\mathbf{x}^{-\lambda^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$ with coefficient 1, and all terms correspond to compositions μ with

$$\mu^+ \leq \lambda^{(m)+}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \left(m^N\right).$$

Corollary 3.10. *For $m > 0$ and $\xi = t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}s^{-m}$, the right qKZ equations* (2.7) – (2.9) *have solution*

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi = t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}s^{-m})\rangle = U_{GC}|\Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle. \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.6. □

The $m=0$ case is a bit special: $|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi = t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)})\rangle = U_{\mathrm{GC}}|1\rangle$. The solution does not depend on $\mathbf{x}$ and s. Imposing in addition that $\xi = 1$, i.e. $t_0 t_N t^{N-1} = 1$, gives a very simple ASEP stationary state. Indeed the system is at thermal equilibrium in this case: written in the usual ASEP parameters the constraint is $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma\delta}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{N-1} = 1$.

3.3 Symmetric Koornwinder polynomials

We can now make the connection between solutions of the qKZ equations, and the symmetric and non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials.

Lemma 3.11. *The component $\psi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ}$ of the vector $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x},s)\rangle$ is the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $E_{((-m)^N)}$. All other components can be constructed through the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ\bullet} &= t_N^{-1/2}T_N^{-1}\psi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ\circ},\\ \psi^{(m)}_{\ldots\bullet\circ\ldots} &= t^{-1/2}T_i^{-1}\psi^{(m)}_{\ldots\circ\bullet\ldots}, \qquad 1\le i\le N-1.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The set of all components $\{\psi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{R}^{(m^N)}$, the space spanned by non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomials $\{E_\mu|\mu\in\mathbb{Z}^N,\mu^+=(m^N)\}$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.8, and Lemma 2.3 with $\xi=s^m$, $\psi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ}$ is an eigenfunction of the Y_i operators, and is a Laurent polynomial with the required degree and normalisation. Thus by uniqueness, we can identify $\psi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ}=E_{((-m)^N)}$. The relations (3.20) come from the exchange relations (2.14) and (2.11).

The preceding parts of this lemma give the preconditions for Proposition 1 and Corollary 1 of [17], from which it follows that $\{\psi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{R}^{(m^N)}$. □

Lemma 3.12. *The component $\phi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ}$ of the vector $\langle\Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x},s)|$ is the non-symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $E_{(m^N)}$. All other components can be constructed through the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ\bullet} &= t_N^{1/2}T_N^{-1}\phi^{(m)}_{\circ\ldots\circ\circ},\\ \phi^{(m)}_{\ldots\bullet\circ\ldots} &= t^{1/2}T_i^{-1}\phi^{(m)}_{\ldots\circ\bullet\ldots}, \qquad 1\le i\le N-1.\end{aligned}$$

The set of all components $\{\phi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}\}$ forms a basis for $\mathcal{R}^{(m^N)}$.

Proof. This follows in the same way, with reference to Theorem 3.9, and Lemmas 2.5 and 2.4. □

Lemma 3.13. *Given a solution $|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)\rangle$ of the qKZ equations (2.7) – (2.9), the sum of components*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)=\langle 1|\Psi(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)\rangle \tag{3.21}$$

is W_0 invariant.

Proof. We first note that $\langle 1|$ is a left eigenvector of $\check{R}_i$, $\overline{K}_N$ with eigenvalue 1 (see (1.16), (1.18)). Then applying $\langle 1|$ to the bulk and right boundary qKZ equations (2.7), (2.9) we see that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)$ is invariant under s_i, $1\le i\le N$, and hence is W_0 invariant. □

Theorem 3.14. *The sum of components of $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle$ is the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $P_{(m^N)}$. That is*

$$P_{(m^N)}(\mathbf{x})=\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s), \tag{3.22}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)=\langle 1|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle, \tag{3.23}$$

and $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle$ is the qKZ solution with $\xi=s^m$, constructed as in Theorem 3.8.

Proof. By Lemmas 3.11 and 3.13, $\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x},;s)$ is W_0 invariant, and belongs to the space $\mathcal{R}^{(m^N)}$, and from Theorem 3.8, we see that it contains $\mathbf{x}^{(m^N)}$ with coefficient 1. The result then follows from the characterisation of symmetric Koornwinder polynomials in [22], quoted in Theorem 1.4. □

We note that Theorem 3.14 implies a matrix product construction for the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $P_{(m^N)}$. Direct computations from this form would be difficult, but the structure leads to certain conjectures that we discuss in Section 4. We also note that an integral form for the polynomial $P_{(m^N)}$ is already known [46]. The solution of the left qKZ equation is also related to the same symmetric Koornwinder polynomial.

Theorem 3.15. *The sum of components of $U_{GC}|\Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle$ is proportional to the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $P_{(m^N)}$. That is*

$$P_{(m^N)}(\mathbf{x}) \propto \mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s,\xi'), \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s,\xi') = \langle 1|U_{GC}|\Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle\rangle, \tag{3.25}$$

with $\xi' = t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}s^{-m}$.

Proof. Note that $U_{\mathrm{GC}}|\Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle\rangle$ is the solution of the right qKZ equations at ξ', and the proof follows as in Theorem 3.14. However, because of the structure of the components $\phi^{(m)}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ (see Theorem 3.9 and Appendix B), and the multiplication by matrix U_{GC}, the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^{(m^N)}$ in $\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s,\xi')$ is not 1. Thus the identification with $P_{(m^N)}$ can only be made up to normalisation. □

4 Current fluctuations and Koornwinder polynomials

The aim of this section is to make contact between the machinery developed previously, and the generating function of the cumulants of the current. The idea is quite simple and arises from the following observation: the constraint $\xi = s^m$ that was imposed in order to solve the qKZ equations, can be satisfied by setting $s = \xi^{1/m}$, leaving ξ free instead of s, which then implies $s \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$. It appears then natural to think that the scattering relation (2.4) may degenerate, in this $s \to 1$ limit, to an eigenvector equation. Then as $m \to \infty$, the vector $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m})\rangle$ should thus converge in some sense to an eigenvector of the scattering matrix. To move towards this direction, we make the following conjectures.

Conjecture 4.1. *It is conjectured that*

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m})\rangle}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m})} = |\Psi_0(\mathbf{x};\xi)\rangle, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\ln(\xi)}{m} \ln\left(\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m})\right) = F_0(\mathbf{x};\xi), \tag{4.2}$$

with $|\Psi_0\rangle$ and F_0 regular functions of $\mathbf{x}$.

These conjectures are supported by strong numerical evidences (up to 3 sites) and by the fact that the matrix product construction of $|\Psi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)\rangle$ is similar to the one presented in [9,10]. In those works, the authors developed a method called the "perturbative matrix ansatz", which allowed them to approximate the ground state of $M(\xi)$, at any order in the current counting parameter ξ. Let us also mention that these kind of results have already been observed in the context of qKZ equations of different models, and are known as the "quasi-classical" limit [47,48].

Note that the second part of the conjecture can be immediately rewritten in terms of symmetric Koornwinder polynomials as

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\frac{\ln(\xi)}{m}\ln\left(P_{(m^N)}(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m})\right)=F_0(\mathbf{x};\xi). \tag{4.3}$$

In the following these conjectures will be considered as facts and properties will be deduced from them. But one has to keep in mind that the validity of the deduced results relies obviously on the validity of these conjectures.

Proposition 4.2. *The function $F_0(\mathbf{x};\xi)$ is W_0 invariant and its derivative, with respect to any of the x_i, is invariant under the Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry $\xi\to\xi'=t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}\xi^{-1}$.*

Proof. The W_0 invariance directly follows from the W_0 invariance of the symmetric Koornwinder polynomials. The Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry follows from theorems 3.14 and 3.15 which give that

$$\begin{aligned}P_{(m^N)}(\mathbf{x};s=\zeta^{1/m})&=\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\zeta^{1/m},\xi=\zeta)\\&\propto\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\zeta^{1/m},\xi=t_0^{-1}t_N^{-1}t^{-(N-1)}\zeta^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

with a proportionality coefficient independent of $\mathbf{x}$. Taking the large m limit it translates into the fact that $F_0(\mathbf{x};\xi')=F_0(\mathbf{x};\xi)+c$ with c a constant term independent of $\mathbf{x}$, which concludes the proof. □

In the following we will specify when needed the dependence on s and ξ of the scattering matrix $\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x};s,\xi)$ defined in (2.2).

Proposition 4.3. *The vector $|\Psi_0(\mathbf{x};\xi)\rangle$ is an eigenvector of the scattering matrices evaluated at $s=1$, with*

$$\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x};1,\xi)|\Psi_0(\mathbf{x};\xi)\rangle=\exp\left(x_i\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x};\xi)\right)|\Psi_0(\mathbf{x};\xi)\rangle. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Our starting point is the scattering relation (2.4) applied with $s=\xi^{1/m}$. We divide by $\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m})$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x};s=\xi^{1/m},\xi)\frac{|\Psi^{(m)}(\dots,x_i,\dots;s=\xi^{1/m})\rangle}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots,x_i,\dots;s=\xi^{1/m})}\\&\quad=\frac{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots,\xi^{1/m}x_i,\dots;s=\xi^{1/m})}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots,x_i,\dots;s=\xi^{1/m})}\frac{|\Psi^{(m)}(\dots,\xi^{1/m}x_i,\dots;s=\xi^{1/m})\rangle}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots,\xi^{1/m}x_i,\dots;s=\xi^{1/m})}.\end{aligned}$$

We then have the limits

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x}; s=\xi^{1/m}, \xi) = \mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{x}; 1, \xi),$$
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{|\Psi^{(m)}(\dots, x_i, \dots; s=\xi^{1/m})\rangle}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots, x_i, \dots; s=\xi^{1/m})} = |\Psi_0(\dots, x_i, \dots; \xi)\rangle,$$
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{|\Psi^{(m)}(\dots, \xi^{1/m}x_i, \dots; s=\xi^{1/m})\rangle}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots, \xi^{1/m}x_i, \dots; s=\xi^{1/m})} = |\Psi_0(\dots, x_i, \dots; \xi)\rangle,$$
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots, \xi^{1/m}x_i, \dots; s=\xi^{1/m})}{\mathcal{Z}^{(m)}(\dots, x_i, \dots; s=\xi^{1/m})} = \exp\left(x_i \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}; \xi)\right),$$

which yield the desired result. □

Proposition 4.4. *The vector $|\Psi_0(\mathbf{1}; \xi)\rangle$ is an eigenvector of the deformed Markov matrix, with*

$$M(\xi)|\Psi_0(\mathbf{1}; \xi)\rangle = \frac{p-q}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial x_i^2}(\mathbf{1}; \xi)|\Psi_0(\mathbf{1}; \xi)\rangle. \tag{4.5}$$

This implies immediately the following expression for the generating function of the cumulants of the current:

$$E(\mu) = \Lambda_0(e^\mu) = \frac{p-q}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial x_i^2}(\mathbf{1}; e^\mu). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. This is proven by taking the derivative of (4.4) with respect to x_i and then setting $x_1 = \cdots = x_N = 1$. One has to make basic use of the properties given in (2.3), and notice the fact that $\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{1}; \xi) = 0$ because F_0 is W_0 invariant. □

Note that despite revealing a beautiful connection between the symmetric functions and the fluctuations of the current, in practice, the last expression does not help to compute the cumulants of the current because a closed expression for F_0 is missing.

However a step can be made toward an exact expression of F_0, using the characterization of the symmetric Koornwinder polynomials as eigenfunctions of the finite difference operator D defined in (1.11). The eigenvalue $d_{(m^N)}$ of this operator associated to the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $P_{(m^N)}$ is given for $s = \xi^{1/m}$ by

$$d_0(\xi) = \frac{1-t^N}{1-t}(\xi - 1)(t_0 t_N t^{N-1} - 1/\xi). \tag{4.7}$$

It is straightforward to check that $d_0(\xi)$ is invariant under the Gallavotti-Cohen symmetry, that is $d_0(\xi) = d_0(\xi')$.

In the following we will explicitly write the dependence on s of the functions $g_i(\mathbf{x}; s)$ defined in (1.12).

Proposition 4.5. *We have the following characterization of the function F_0:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\mathbf{x}; 1)\left[\exp\left(x_i \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}; \xi)\right) - 1\right] + \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\mathbf{x}^{-1}; 1)\left[\exp\left(-x_i \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}; \xi)\right) - 1\right]$$
$$= d_0(\xi)$$

Proof. This follows directly from the relation (1.14) applied for the symmetric Koornwinder polynomial $P_{(m^N)}$ and $s = \xi^{1/m}$. Dividing the latter relation by $P_{(m^N)}(\mathbf{x}; s = \xi^{1/m})$ and taking the large m limit yield the desired result. □

It would be interesting to understand if this characterization of the function F_0 can be related to a Baxter $t-Q$ relation [12]. It has been shown in [30] that the normalisation of the stationary state (corresponding to the case $s = \xi = 1$) satisfies a $t - Q$ difference equation. We can mention also in this context the work [45] where the authors constructed a Baxter Q operator for the open ASEP (with current-counting deformation) and derived the corresponding $t - Q$ relations.

Acknowledgments

We would like to warmly thank Nicolas Crampé and Eric Ragoucy for stimulating discussions and suggestions.

A Calculation of the normalisation

We wish to show that the normalisation factor $\Omega^{(m)}$, defined in (3.14), is non-zero. To do so we show how it is computed in the infinite dimensional representation of the algebra given in Definition 3.2. Our presentation of the representation follows the review in [44], and we refer to that work for further references.

The representation of the algebra is defined on the Fock space $\mathrm{Span}\{|k\rangle\rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. The bulk matrices are given by

$$a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-t^k)|k-1\rangle\rangle\langle\langle k|, \qquad a^\dagger = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|k+1\rangle\rangle\langle\langle k|,$$
$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s^{k/2}|k\rangle\rangle\langle\langle k|.$$

The boundary vectors are written

$$\langle\langle w| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_k\langle\langle k|, \qquad |v\rangle\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k|k\rangle\rangle,$$

and

$$\langle\langle \widetilde{w}| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{w}_k\langle\langle k|, \qquad |\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{v}_k|k\rangle\rangle.$$

As a consequence of the boundary relations, the coefficients appearing in $\langle\langle w|, |v\rangle\rangle$ satisfy the recursion relations

$$w_{k+1} + t_0^{1/2}(u_0^{1/2} - u_0^{-1/2})w_k - t_0(1-t^k)w_{k-1} = 0,$$
$$(t)_{k+1}v_{k+1} + t_N^{1/2}(u_N^{1/2} - u_N^{-1/2})(t)_k v_k - t_N(1-t^k)(t)_{k-1}v_{k-1} = 0,$$

with $w_{-1} = v_{-1} = 0$. We have used the t-Pochhammer symbol

$$(x)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(1-t^k x).$$

The t-Pochhammer symbol can be defined for $n = \infty$ if $t < 1$, then

$$(x)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty}(1 - t^k x),$$

and we use the notation

$$(x, y, z, \ldots)_\infty = (x)_\infty (y)_\infty (z)_\infty \ldots$$

The t-Hermite polynomials are given by

$$H_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(t)_n}{(t)_k (t)_{n-k}} x^k y^{n-k},$$

and satisfy the recursion relation

$$H_{n+1}(x, y) - (x + y)H_n(x, y) + xy(1 - t^n)H_{n-1}(x, y) = 0.$$

Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= H_n(t_0^{1/2} u_0^{-1/2}, -t_0^{1/2} u_0^{1/2}), \\ v_n &= \frac{H_n(t_N^{1/2} u_N^{-1/2}, -t_N^{1/2} u_N^{1/2})}{(t)_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

The coefficients $\widetilde{w}_n$, $\widetilde{v}_n$ are obtained by setting $u_i = t_i$ in the above.

To compute the normalisation factors in the qKZ solution, we will make use of the t-Mehler formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(x, y)H_n(w, z)\frac{\lambda^n}{(q)_n} = \frac{(xywz\lambda^2)_\infty}{(xw\lambda, xz\lambda, yw\lambda, yz\lambda)_\infty}.$$

For the normalisation, we need to compute

$$\langle\langle w|S^a|v\rangle\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_n \left(s^{n/2}\right)^a v_n.$$

Using the coefficients (A.1) and the t-Mehler formula gives

$$\langle\langle w|S^a|v\rangle\rangle = \frac{\left(t_0^{1/2} t_N^{1/2} s^a\right)_\infty}{\left(t_0^{1/2} u_0^{-1/2} t_N^{1/2} u_N^{-1/2} s^{a/2}, -t_0^{1/2} u_0^{-1/2} t_N^{1/2} u_N^{1/2} s^{a/2}, -t_0^{1/2} u_0^{1/2} t_N^{1/2} u_N^{-1/2} s^{a/2}, t_0^{1/2} u_0^{1/2} t_N^{1/2} u_N^{1/2} s^{a/2}\right)_\infty}. \tag{A.2}$$

We also need to compute $\langle\langle\widetilde{w}|S^a|\widetilde{v}\rangle\rangle$, but this is obtained from (A.2) by setting $u_i = t_i$, $i = 0, N$.

B Construction of a left ground state vector

In this appendix, we construct row vector solutions of the *left* qKZ equations (2.16) – (2.18) in the matrix product form

$$\langle\Phi(\mathbf{x}; s, \xi)| = \langle\langle W|\mathbb{S}\mathbb{A}_1(x_1)\ldots\mathbb{A}_N(x_N)|V\rangle\rangle, \tag{B.1}$$

with

$$\mathbb{A}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0(x) & A_1(x) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.2}$$

Lemma B.1. *Sufficient conditions for a vector of form* (B.1) *to satisfy the left qKZ equations* (2.16) – (2.18) *are the following:*

$$\mathbb{A}_i(x_i)\mathbb{A}_{i+1}(x_{i+1})\check{R}_i\left(\frac{x_{i+1}}{x_i}\right) = \mathbb{A}_i(x_{i+1})\mathbb{A}_{i+1}(x_i), \tag{B.3}$$

$$\langle\!\langle W|\mathbb{S}\mathbb{A}_1\left(x_1^{-1}\right)\widetilde{K}_1\left(x_1^{-1}\right) = \langle\!\langle W|\mathbb{S}\mathbb{A}_1\left(sx_1\right), \tag{B.4}$$

$$\mathbb{A}_N(x_N)|V\rangle\!\rangle\overline{K}_N(x_N) = \mathbb{A}_N\left(x_N^{-1}\right)|V\rangle\!\rangle. \tag{B.5}$$

We will construct a solution at $\xi = s^m$, with $m \geq 0$ an integer. We define the following objects:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{\text{left}}(x) &= (1,1),\\
\mathbb{A}^{(m)}_{\text{left}}(x) &= b_{\text{left}}(x)\dot{\otimes}\underbrace{L(1/x)\dot{\otimes}\ldots\dot{\otimes}L(1/x)}_{m\text{ times}},\\
\mathbb{S}^{(m)}_{\text{left}} &= 1\otimes S\otimes S^2\otimes\ldots\otimes S^{2m-1}\otimes S^{2m},\\
\langle\!\langle W^{(m)}_{\text{left}}| &= \langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|\otimes\underbrace{\langle\!\langle w|\otimes\langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|\otimes\ldots\otimes\langle\!\langle w|\otimes\langle\!\langle\widetilde{w}|}_{m\text{ times}},\\
|V^{(m)}_{\text{left}}\rangle\!\rangle &= |\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle\otimes\underbrace{|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle\otimes\ldots\otimes|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle}_{m\text{ times}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

The algebraic objects are as given in Definition 3.2, and $L(x)$ is defined in (3.10).

Proposition B.2. *For integer $m \geq 0$ and $\xi = s^m$,*

$$\langle\Phi^{(m)}(\mathbf{x};s)| = \frac{1}{\Omega^{(m)}_{left}}\langle\!\langle W^{(m)}_{left}|\mathbb{S}^{(m)}_{left}\mathbb{A}^{(m)}_{left,1}(x_1)\ldots\mathbb{A}^{(m)}_{left,N}(x_N)|V^{(m)}_{left}\rangle\!\rangle, \tag{B.7}$$

with normalisation factor

$$\Omega^{(m)}_{left} = \langle\!\langle W^{(m)}_{left}|\mathbb{S}^{(m)}_{left}|V^{(m)}_{left}\rangle\!\rangle, \tag{B.8}$$

is a solution of left the qKZ equations (2.16) – (2.18).

Proof. We give the elementary exchange relations, which imply (B.3) – (B.5), and thus a solution of the left qKZ equations.

In the bulk,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{\text{left},i}(x_i)b_{\text{left},i+1}(x_{i+1})\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i) &= b_{\text{left},i}(x_{i+1})b_{\text{left},i+1}(x_i),\\
L_i(1/x_i)L_{i+1}(1/x_{i+1})\check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i) &= \check{R}_i(x_{i+1}/x_i)L_i(1/x_{i+1})L_{i+1}(1/x_i),
\end{aligned}$$

from which (B.3) follows. On the right boundary

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{\text{left},N}(x_N)\overline{K}_N(x_N)|v\rangle\!\rangle &= b_{\text{left},N}(1/x_N)|v\rangle\!\rangle,\\
L_N(1/x_N)\overline{K}_N(x_N)|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle &= \overline{K}_N(x_N)L_N(x_N)|v\rangle\!\rangle\otimes|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

from which (B.4) follows. On the left boundary

$$\langle\!\langle w|b_{\text{left},1}(1/x_1)\ \widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})\Big|_{\xi=1} = \langle\!\langle w|b_{\text{left},1}(sx_1),$$

and

$$\langle\!\langle w| \otimes \langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2a-1} \otimes S^{2a} L_1(x_1)\ \widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})\Big|_{\xi=s^a}$$
$$= \langle\!\langle w| \otimes \langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2a-1} \otimes S^{2a}\ \widetilde{K}_1(x_1^{-1})\Big|_{\xi=s^{a-1}} L_1(1/(sx_1)).$$

With the constraint $\xi = s^m$, these imply (B.5). □

With this result, the proof of Theorem 3.9 is then very similar to that for Theorem 3.8. We will, however, comment briefly on the terms appearing in each component $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}(\mathbf{x})$, and the normalisation. To do so, we look at the normalised 'increment matrix' taking the $m-1$ solution to the m solution:

$$\frac{1}{\langle\!\langle w|S^{2m-1}|v\rangle\!\rangle \langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2m}|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle} \left({}^{2m-1,2m}\langle L\left(\frac{1}{x_1}\right)_1 \dots L\left(\frac{1}{x_N}\right)_N \rangle \right).$$

The diagonal entries of this matrix contain the term $\mathbf{x}^{-\lambda^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$ with coefficient 1, which produce the term $\mathbf{x}^{-\lambda^{(m)}(\boldsymbol{\tau})}$ in $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}$. In the top row of the increment matrix, the entry in column $\boldsymbol{\tau}'$ has leading term

$$\frac{\langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2m} a^{\sum_i \tau_i'}|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle}{\langle\!\langle \widetilde{w}|S^{2m}|\widetilde{v}\rangle\!\rangle} x_1 \dots x_N, \tag{B.9}$$

and as a consequence, each component $\phi_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{(m)}$ contains the term $\mathbf{x}^{(m^N)}$ with the same coefficient as in (B.9).

References

[1] B. Derrida, "An exactly soluble non-equilibrium system: the asymmetric simple exclusion process," *Physics Reports* **301** no. 1, (1998) 65–83.

[2] T. Chou, K. Mallick, and R. Zia, "Non-equilibrium statistical mechanics: from a paradigmatic model to biological transport," *Reports on progress in physics* **74** no. 11, (2011) 116601, `arXiv:1110.1783 [cond-mat.stat-mech]`.

[3] S. Katz, J. L. Lebowitz, and H. Spohn, "Nonequilibrium steady states of stochastic lattice gas models of fast ionic conductors," *J Stat Phys* **34** (1984) 497.

[4] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner, and E. Ben-Naim, *A kinetic view of statistical physics*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[5] B. Schmittmann and R. K. Zia, "Statistical mechanics of driven diffusive systems," *Phase transitions and critical phenomena* **17** (1995) 3–214.

[6] H. Touchette, "The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics," *Physics Reports* **478** no. 1–3, (2009) 1–69, `arXiv:0804.0327 [cond-mat.stat-mech]`.

[7] B. Derrida, M. Evans, and K. Mallick, "Exact diffusion constant of a one-dimensional asymmetric exclusion model with open boundaries," *J Stat Phys* **79** no. 5-6, (1995) 833.

[8] A. Lazarescu and K. Mallick, "An exact formula for the statistics of the current in the TASEP with open boundaries," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** no. 31, (2011) 315001, arXiv:1104.5089 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[9] M. Gorissen, A. Lazarescu, K. Mallick, and C. Vanderzande, "Exact Current Statistics of the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process with Open Boundaries," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 170601, arXiv:1207.6879 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[10] A. Lazarescu, "Matrix ansatz for the fluctuations of the current in the ASEP with open boundaries," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** no. 14, (2013) 145003, arXiv:1212.3366 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[11] B. Derrida, M. R. Evans, V. Hakim, and V. Pasquier, "Exact solution of a 1D asymmetric exclusion model using a matrix formulation," *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **26** no. 7, (1993) 1493.

[12] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*. Academic Press, London, 1982.

[13] E. K. Sklyanin, "Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** (1988) 2375.

[14] T. Sasamoto and M. Wadati, "Stationary state of integrable systems in matrix product form," *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66** no. 9, (1997) 2618–2627.

[15] N. Crampe, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, "Integrable approach to simple exclusion processes with boundaries. Review and progress," *J. Stat. Mech.* (2014) P11032, arXiv:1408.5357 [math-ph].

[16] L. Cantini, J. de Gier, and M. Wheeler, "Matrix product formula for Macdonald polynomials," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** no. 38, (2015) 384001, arXiv:1505.00287 [math-ph].

[17] L. Cantini, A. Garbali, J. de Gier, and M. Wheeler, "Koornwinder polynomials and the stationary multi-species asymmetric exclusion process with open boundaries," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** no. 44, (2016) 444002, arXiv:1607.00039 [math-ph].

[18] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, "Dynamical ensembles in stationary states," *J Stat Phys* **80** no. 5, (1995) 931–970.

[19] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, "A Gallavotti–Cohen-Type Symmetry in the Large Deviation Functional for Stochastic Dynamics," *Journal of Statistical Physics* **95** (1999) 333–365, arXiv:cond-mat/9811220 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[20] T. H. Koornwinder, "Askey–Wilson polynomials for root systems of type BC_n," *Contemp. Math* **138** (1992) 189–204.

[21] J. van Diejen, "Self-dual Koornwinder-Macdonald polynomials," *J. Invent math* **126** (1996) 319–339.

[22] S. Sahi, "Nonsymmetric Koornwinder Polynomials and Duality," *Annals of Mathematics* **150** no. 1, (1999) pp. 267–282, arXiv:q-alg/9710032.

[23] J. V. Stokman, "Koornwinder polynomials and affine Hecke algebras," *Int Math Res Notices* **2000** (2000) 1005–1042, arXiv:math/0002090 [math.QA].

[24] G. Lusztig, "Affine Hecke Algebras and Their Graded Version," *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **2** (1989) 599–635.

[25] M. Noumi, "Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials and affine Hecke rings," *Surikaisekikenkyusho kokyuroku* **919** (1995) 44–55. (In Japanese).

[26] P. Zinn-Justin, "Loop model with mixed boundary conditions, qKZ equation and alternating sign matrices," *J. Stat. Mech.* (2007) P01007, arXiv:math-ph/0610067.

[27] L. Cantini, "Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process with open boundaries and Koornwinder polynomials," arXiv:1506.00284 [math-ph].

[28] I. B. Frenkel and N. Y. Reshetikhin, "Quantum affine algebras and holonomic difference equations," *Commun.Math. Phys.* **146** no. 1, (1992) 1–60.

[29] V. Knizhnik and A. Zamolodchikov, "Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions," *Nucl. Phys. B* **247** no. 1, (1984) 83–103.

[30] N. Crampe, K. Mallick, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, "Inhomogeneous discrete-time exclusion processes," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** no. 48, (2015) 484002, arXiv:1506.04874 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[31] N. Crampe, K. Mallick, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, "Open two-species exclusion processes with integrable boundaries," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** no. 17, (2015) 175002, arXiv:1412.5939 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[32] N. Crampe, M. Evans, K. Mallick, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, "Matrix product solution to a 2-species TASEP with open integrable boundaries," arXiv:1606.08148 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[33] N. Crampe, C. Finn, E. Ragoucy, and M. Vanicat, "Integrable boundary conditions for multi-species ASEP," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** no. 37, (2016) 375201, arXiv:1606.01018 [math-ph].

[34] M. Evans, P. Ferrari, and K. Mallick, "Matrix Representation of the Stationary Measure for the multi-species TASEP," *J Stat Phys* **135** (2009) 217, arXiv:0807.0327 [math.PR].

[35] S. Prolhac, M. R. Evans, and K. Mallick, "The matrix product solution of the multispecies partially asymmetric exclusion process," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** no. 16, (2009) 165004, arXiv:0812.3293 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[36] C. Arita, A. Ayyer, K. Mallick, and S. Prolhac, "Recursive structures in the multispecies TASEP," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011) 335004, arXiv:1104.3752 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[37] C. Arita, A. Ayyer, K. Mallick, and S. Prolhac, "Generalized matrix Ansatz in the multi-species exclusion process - the partially asymmetric case," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **45** (2012) 195001, arXiv:1201.0388 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[38] A. Kuniba, S. Maruyama, and M. Okado, "Multispecies TASEP and combinatorial R^*," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48** (2015) 34FT02, arXiv:1506.04490 [math-ph].

[39] A. Kuniba, S. Maruyama, and M. Okado, "Multispecies TASEP and the tetrahedron equation," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49** (2016) 114001, arXiv:1509.09018 [nlin.SI].

[40] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Factorized S-matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field theory models," *Annals of Physics* **120** no. 2, (1979) 253–291.

[41] L. D. Faddeev, "Quantum completely integrable models in field theory," *Sov. Sci. Rev* **C1** (1980) 107.

[42] S. Ghoshal and A. Zamolodchikov, "Boundary S-matrix and boundary state in two-dimensional integrable quantum field theory," *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **09** (1994) 3841–3885, arXiv:hep-th/9306002.

[43] N. Crampe, E. Ragoucy, V. Rittenberg, and M. Vanicat, "Integrable dissipative exclusion process: Correlation functions and physical properties," *Phys. Rev. E* **94** (Sep, 2016) 032102, arXiv:1603.06796 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[44] A. Lazarescu, *Exact Large Deviations of the Current in the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process with Open Boundaries*. PhD thesis, 2013. arXiv:1311.7370 [cond-mat.stat-mech].

[45] A. Lazarescu and V. Pasquier, "Bethe Ansatz and Q -operator for the open ASEP," *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** (2014) 295202, arXiv:1403.6963 [math-ph].

[46] K. Mimachi, "A duality of Macdonald–Koornwinder polynomials and its applications to integral representations," *Duke Math. J* **107** no. 2, (2001) 265–281.

[47] N. Reshetikhin, "Jackson-type integrals, bethe vectors, and solutions to a difference analog of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system," *Lett Math Phys* **26** (1992) 153–165.

[48] N. Reshetikhin and A. Varchenko, "Quasiclassical asymptotics of solutions to the KZ equations," arXiv:hep-th/9402126.